

## The autonomy of the visual systems and the modularity of conscious vision

S. Zeki and A. Bartels

Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, University College, London WC1E 6BT

Anatomical and physiological evidence shows that the primate visual brain consists of many distributed processing systems, acting in parallel. Psychophysical studies show that the activity in each of the parallel systems reaches its perceptual end-point at a different time, thus leading to a perceptual asynchrony in vision. This, together with the clinical and human imaging evidence, suggests strongly that the processing systems are also perceptual systems and that the different processing-perceptual systems can act more or less autonomously. Moreover, activity in each can have a conscious correlate without necessarily involving activity in other visual systems. This leads us to conclude not only that visual consciousness is itself modular, reflecting the basic modular organisation of the visual brain, but that the binding of cellular activity in the processing-perceptual systems is more properly thought of as a binding of the consciousnesses generated by each of them. It is this binding that gives us our integrated image of the visual world.

**Keywords:** consciousness; colour; binding; asynchrony; motion; cortex

### I. Spatially distributed, parallel processing systems in the visual brain

I.A. The primate visual brain has been shown to consist of many separate visual areas (Zeki 1969, Zeki 1971, Allman and Kaas 1974) and the number of areas continues to grow (Felleman and Van Essen 1991). Central to the visual brain is the primary visual cortex, area V1. This receives its input from the retina via the lateral geniculate nucleus and distributes specialised signals in parallel to the other visual areas that are situated in the cortex surrounding it (Zeki 1975, Livingstone and Hubel 1984, Felleman and Van Essen 1991).

I.B. The different visual areas in the cortex surrounding area V1 are specialised to process different attributes of the visual scene (Zeki 1978). Prominent among these are motion and colour.

I.C. It is generally accepted that the cortical components of both the motion and the colour processing systems are multistage, involving specialised and segregated groups of cells in areas V1 and V2, and the more specialised areas V5 and V4 respectively (DeYoe and Van Essen 1988, Livingstone and Hubel 1988, Shipp and Zeki 1989a, Shipp and Zeki 1989b, Zeki and Shipp 1989).

I.D. V5 represents but one aspect of a specialisation for motion. There are several specialised areas surrounding V5, which receive a direct input from V5. Among the specialisations of these areas are ones for rotatory motion, biological motion and

optical flow, in both monkey (Sakata *et al.* 1986, Tanaka and Saito 1989, Wurtz *et al.* 1990) and man (Shipp *et al.* 1994, Howard *et al.* 1996).

I.E. It follows from the above that one characteristic of the visual brain is the presence of spatially distributed, functionally specialised, processing systems that deal with different attributes of the visual scene. The visual brain is therefore modular in its organisation.

### II. Temporal asynchrony in visual perception

II.A The major characteristic of the visual brain, that of spatially distributed parallel processing systems, raises the question of how the results of the operations undertaken by the different processing systems are integrated to give us our unitary image of the visual world. An initial supposition is that the different processing systems terminate their tasks at the same time, or that they report the results of their operations in a convergent manner to a central integrator area or areas, or that a specialised form of communication between the areas - e.g. in the temporal domain - leads to an integrated percept. But anatomical experiments show that there is no single area that receives input from all the specialised areas and that when two specialised areas such as V4 and V5 project to the same, higher, area, each largely maintains its territory within the higher area. We thus speak of *juxta-convergence* rather than overlapping inputs (Shipp and Zeki 1995).

**II.B.** Moreover, psychophysical experiments show that different processing systems do not complete their tasks at the same time (Moutoussis and Zeki 1997a). Different attributes of the visual scene are not perceived at the same time. Instead, colour is seen before orientation which is seen before motion (Moutoussis and Zeki 1997a, Moutoussis and Zeki 1997b, Zeki and Moutoussis 1997), the difference between perceptual times for colour and for motion being about 60 - 80 ms.

**II.C.** This extends functional specialisation into the time domain and shows that another characteristic of the visual brain is a temporal asynchrony in perceiving different attributes. It leads us to conclude that a mutual integration of activity between different processing systems is not necessary for the creation of a conscious percept. It rather suggests strongly that the processing systems are also perceptual systems. Hence we speak of processing-perceptual systems (Zeki 1998).

**II.D.** The psycho-physical evidence also shows that the brain does not necessarily bind together what happens in real time but may instead bind the results of the operations undertaken by its different processing systems, which require different amounts of time to complete their tasks. In the sub-second window, the brain therefore misbinds in terms of real time (Moutoussis and Zeki 1997a, Moutoussis and Zeki 1997b).

**II.E.** It follows that visual perception is also modular.

### **III. The autonomy of the visual processing systems**

**III.A.** The evidence given in I and II suggests that the different processing-perceptual systems are fairly autonomous of one another and able to function more or less independently.

**III.B.** The absence of a central area to which all the specialised processing systems uniquely project (Shipp and Zeki 1995), and the temporal segregation of the perceptive systems, argue against the necessity for a

central synthesiser or integrator mechanism, either in space or time.

**III.C.** Human studies confirm that the different processing-perceptual systems are fairly autonomous. Thus specific damage to one system alone, for example colour, does not lead to a global deficit in vision; the most characteristic outcome of such a specific damage to the human colour centre (the V4 complex - see Bartels and Zeki 1998b) is a colour imperception, or cerebral achromatopsia (for a review, see Zeki 1990a). But achromatopsic subjects are able to perceive motion normally. Equally, the characteristic result of damage to the motion centre (area V5) is a motion imperception or cerebral akinetopsia (for a review, see Zeki 1991). But akinetopsic subjects are able to perceive colour normally (Zihl *et al.* 1983).

**III.D.** One concludes that the two systems are fairly autonomous of one another and that one can function more or less normally in the absence of the other.

### **IV. The conscious correlate of activity in individual processing-perceptual systems**

**IV.A.** The relative autonomy of the visual areas in terms of processing and of perception raises the question of whether activity in each has a conscious correlate, without the mandatory participation of other visual areas or systems. It also raises the question not only of whether different processing systems create conscious correlates but also whether activity at different stages within each can have a conscious correlate (Bartels and Zeki 1998a, Zeki and Bartels 1998). We have argued elsewhere on the basis of clinical and physiological evidence that activity at each level of a multi-stage system can be made perceptually explicit and does not necessarily require the participation of further stages within that system (Zeki 1990b, Zeki 1993, Bartels and Zeki 1998a). By perceptually explicit activity, we mean one that does not require further processing.

**IV.B.** It has now been shown conclusively that patients with a damaged V1 and an intact V5 can discriminate and experience consciously fast motion presented to their

blind hemi-fields (Barbur *et al.* 1993, Weiskrantz 1995, Zeki and ffytche 1998). This capacity is almost certainly conferred on them by the direct retinal input to V5 that by-passes V1 and that is apparently specialised to deliver signals from fast-moving stimuli (Beckers and Zeki 1995, ffytche *et al.* 1995). Imaging studies show that when such a patient experiences motion in his blind field, the activity is restricted to V5 and to the reticular activating system (Zeki and ffytche 1998). Thus a sub-division of the visual motion pathway can function more or less autonomously, if crudely, and activity within it has a conscious correlate.

IV.C. There is evidence that activity in further, specialised areas of the cortical motion system can result in a conscious perception of the attribute for which the relevant area(s) is specialised, without involving other visual areas or area V1, presumably reflecting the cortical specialisation for visual motion (see I. D above). An interesting example comes from the patient of Ceccaldi (1992) and of Mestre (1992), blinded by a lesion in V1; he can perceive optical flow motion stimuli, which appear to be processed by distinct cortical areas (Shipp *et al.* 1994), without perceiving translational motion (un-published results from Ceccaldi, Mestre and Zeki).

IV.D. Carbon monoxide poisoned patients who have lost the ability to see form and motion and depth can sometimes retain selectively the capacity to see in colour (Wechsler 1933, Humphrey *et al.* 1995, Zeki *et al.* 1998). They are conscious of seeing colours. This constitutes a further indication that the separate systems can act more or less autonomously and that activity in them can have a conscious correlate without the participation of the other areas.

IV.E. There is at least one report in the published literature of a patient blinded by a lesion in V1 who is nevertheless able to see colour consciously in her blind field (Blythe *et al.* 1987), presumably through the direct input linking the lateral geniculate nucleus to V4 (Fries 1981, Yuki and Iwai 1981). If confirmed by further cases, this would suggest a parallel between the motion and the colour systems, in that activity within

each can lead to a conscious if crude experience of the relevant attribute.

## V. Conclusion:

Taken together, the above evidence suggests that the processing, the perception and the conscious experience of a visual attribute do not depend upon the healthy functioning of the entire brain or even the entire visual brain but only of the sub-system specialised for that attribute. There may therefore be many consciousnesses, reflecting activity in the separate parallel processing-perceptual systems that constitute the visual brain. Visual consciousness may itself therefore be modular, thus reflecting the basic modularity of the processing perceptual systems. We have hypothesised elsewhere that activity at each level of each processing-perceptual system may generate a conscious correlate and that it is these micro-consciousnesses that have to be bound to generate the integrated image in the brain (Zeki and Bartels 1998). It is, we believe, an hypothesis that is worth considering and one that may have applicability to more than the visual brain.

The work of this laboratory is supported by the Wellcome Trust, London. A.B. is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

## References:

- Allman, J.M. and Kaas, J.H. 1974. A crescent-shaped visual area surrounding the middle temporal area (MT) in the owl monkey. *Brain Res.*, **81**, 199-213.
- Barbur, J.L., Watson, J.D.G., Frackowiak, R.S.J. and Zeki, S. 1993. Conscious visual perception without V1. *Brain*, **116**, 1293-1302.
- Bartels, A. and Zeki, S. 1998a. A Theory of Perceptive Sites in the Visual Brain. *In preparation*,
- Bartels, A. and Zeki, S. 1998b. There are two critical sites in the V4 complex of the human brain for generating colours - V4 and V4a. *Submitted*,
- Beckers, G. and Zeki, S. 1995. The Consequences Of Inactivating Areas V1 and V5 On Visual-Motion Perception. *Brain*, **118**, 49-60.
- Blythe, I.M., Kennard, C. and Ruddock, K.H. 1987. Residual vision in patients with retrogeniculate lesions of the visual pathways. *Brain*, **110**, 887-905.

- Ceccaldi, M., Mestre, D., Brouchon, M., Balzamo, M. and Poncet, M. 1992. Autonomie deambulatoire et perception visuelle du mouvement dans un cas de cécité corticale quasi totale. *Rev. Neurol. (Paris)*, **148**, 343-349.
- DeYoe, E.A. and Van Essen, D.C. 1988. Concurrent Processing Streams In Monkey Visual-Cortex. *Trends Neurosci.*, **11**, 219-226.
- Felleman, D.J. and Van Essen, D.C. 1991. Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral cortex. *Cereb. Cortex*, **1**, 1-47.
- ffytche, D.H., Guy, C.N. and Zeki, S. 1995. The parallel visual motion inputs into areas V1 and V5 of human cerebral cortex. *Brain*, **118**, 1375-1394.
- Fries, W. 1981. The projection from the lateral geniculate nucleus to the prestriate cortex of the macaque monkey. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **213**, 73-80.
- Howard, R.J., Brammer, M., Wright, I., Woodruff, P.W., Bullmore, E.T. and Zeki, S. 1996. A Direct Demonstration Of Functional Specialization Within Motion- Related Visual and Auditory-Cortex Of the Human Brain. *Current Biology*, **6**, 1015-1019.
- Humphrey, G.K., Goodale, M.A., Corbetta, M. and Aglioti, S. 1995. The McCollough effect reveals orientation discrimination in a case of cortical blindness. *Current Biology*, **5**, 545-551.
- Livingstone, M.S. and Hubel, D.H. 1984. Specificity of intrinsic connections in primate primary visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.*, **4**, 2830-2835.
- Livingstone, M.S. and Hubel, D.H. 1988. Segregation of form, color, movement, and depth: anatomy, physiology, and perception. *Science*, **240**, 740-749.
- Mestre, D.R., Brouchon, M., Ceccaldi, M. and Poncet, M. 1992. Perception Of Optical-Flow In Cortical Blindness - a Case-Report. *Neuropsychologia*, **30**, 783-795.
- Moutoussis, K. and Zeki, S. 1997a. A direct demonstration of perceptual asynchrony in vision. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **264**, 393-399.
- Moutoussis, K. and Zeki, S. 1997b. Functional segregation and temporal hierarchy of the visual perceptive systems. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **264**, 1407-1414.
- Sakata, H., Shibutani, H., Ito, Y. and Tsurugai, K. 1986. Parietal cortical neurons responding to rotary movement in space. *Exp. Brain Res.*, **61**, 658-663.
- Shipp, S., Dejong, B.M., Zihl, J., Frackowiak, R.S.J. and Zeki, S. 1994. The Brain Activity Related to Residual Motion Vision In a Patient With Bilateral Lesions Of V5. *Brain*, **117**, 1023-1038.
- Shipp, S. and Zeki, S. 1989a. The organization of connections between areas V5 and V1 in macaque monkey visual cortex. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, **1**, 309-332.
- Shipp, S. and Zeki, S. 1989b. The organization of connections between areas V5 and V2 in macaque monkey visual cortex. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, **1**, 333-354.
- Shipp, S. and Zeki, S. 1995. Segregation and Convergence Of Specialized Pathways In Macaque Monkey Visual Cortex. *J. Anat.*, **187**, 547-562.
- Tanaka, K. and Saito, H. 1989. Analysis of motion of the visual field by direction, expansion / contraction, and rotation cells clustered in the dorsal part of the medial superior temporal area of the macaque monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.*, **62**, 626-641.
- Wechsler, I.S. 1933. Partial cortical blindness with preservation of colour vision: report of a case following asphyxia (carbon monoxide poisoning ?). *Arch. Ophthalmol.*, **9**, 957-965.
- Weiskrantz, L. 1995. Blindsight - not an island unto itself. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, **4**, 146-151.
- Wurtz, R.H., Yamasaki, D.S., Duffy, C.J. and Roy, J.-P. 1990. Functional specialization for visual motion processing in primate cerebral cortex. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.*, **55**, 717-727.
- Yukie, M. and Iwai, E. 1981. Direct projection from the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus to the prestriate cortex in macaque monkeys. *J. Comp. Neurol.*, **201**, 81-97.
- Zeki, S.M. 1969. The secondary visual areas of the monkey. *Brain Res.*, **13**, 197-226.
- Zeki, S.M. 1971. Cortical projections from two prestriate areas in the monkey. *Brain Res.*, **34**, 19-35.
- Zeki, S.M. 1975. The functional organization of projections from striate to prestriate visual cortex in the rhesus monkey. *Cold Spring Harbour Symp. Quant. Biol.*, **40**, 591-600.
- Zeki, S.M. 1978. Functional specialisation in the visual cortex of the rhesus monkey. *Nature*, **274**, 423-428.
- Zeki, S. 1990a. A century of cerebral achromatopsia. *Brain*, **113**, 1721-1777.
- Zeki, S. 1990b. A theory of multi-stage integration in the visual cortex. pp. 137-154 In *The Principles of Design and Operation of the Brain* (ed. by J.C. Eccles and O. Creutzfeldt). Pontifical Academy of Science, Vatican City.
- Zeki, S. 1991. Cerebral akinetopsia (visual motion blindness). A review. *Brain*, **114**, 811-824.

Zeki, S. 1993. *A Vision of the Brain*. Blackwell, Oxford.

Zeki, S. 1998. Parallel Processing, Asynchronous Perception and a Distributed System of Consciousness in Vision. *The Neuroscientist*, **(In press)**,

Zeki, S., Aglioti, S., McKeefry, D. and Berlucchi, G. 1998. The Neurological Basis of Conscious Colour Perception in a Blind Patient. *Brain*, **(submitted)**,

Zeki, S. and Bartels, A. 1998. The Asynchrony of Consciousness. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **265**, 1583-1585.

Zeki, S. and ffytche, D. 1998. The Riddoch Syndrome: Insights into the Neurobiology of Conscious Vision. *Brain*, **121**, 25-45.

Zeki, S. and Moutoussis, K. 1997. Temporal hierarchy of the visual perceptive systems in the Mondrian world. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, **264**, 1415-1419.

Zeki, S. and Shipp, S. 1989. Modular connections between areas V2 and V4 of macaque monkey visual cortex. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, **1**, 494-506.

Zihl, J., Von Cramon, D. and Mai, N. 1983. Selective disturbance of movement vision after bilateral brain damage. *Brain*, **106**, 313-340.